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## History

- 1984: Bier defines the $i$-th distribution invariant of an association scheme.
- 1987: Bier and Delsarte generalize this concept to distribution numbers of association schemes.
- 1986: Manickam, a student of Eiichi Bannai, publishes his PhD thesis on "Distribution Invariants of Association Schemes".
- 1988: Manickam, Miklós, and Singhi publish the Manickam-Miklós-Singhi conjecture for sets and vector spaces.
- 2014: Simeon Ball tells me about this conjecture. ${ }^{1}$
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## The MMS Conjecture for Sets

(1) Consider $M=\{1, \ldots, 10\}$.
(2) Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a weighting of $M$ with $\sum_{x \in M} f(x)=0$.

## Question

How many subsets $S$ of $M$ have nonnegative weight, i.e. how many such $S$ satisfy $\sum_{x \in S} f(x) \geq 0$ ?
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(2) Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a weighting of $M$ with $\sum_{x \in M} f(x)=0$.

## Question

How many subsets $S$ of $M$ have nonnegative weight, i.e. how many such $S$ satisfy $\sum_{x \in S} f(x) \geq 0$ ?

## Answer

At least $2^{9}$. If $S \subseteq M$ has negative weight, then its complement $C S$ has positive weight.

Too simple. Let's change the question...
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## The MMS Conjecture for Sets

(1) Consider $M=\{1, \ldots, 10\}$.
(2) Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a weighting of $M$ with $\sum_{x \in M} f(x)=0$.

## Question

How many 3-element subsets $S$ of $M$ have nonnegative weight, i.e. how many such $S$ satisfy $\sum_{x \in S} f(x) \geq 0$ ?

Answer (Marino, Chiaselotti (2002), Hartke, Stolee (2014))
At least $\binom{7}{3}=35$.

## Some Examples

We have $\binom{10}{3}=120$ subsets with 3 elements.

## Example

Put the weight 1 on $1, \ldots, 9$ and the weight -9 on 10. Then we have $\binom{9}{3}=84$ nonnegative 3 -element subsets.

## Example

Put the weight -1 on $1, \ldots, 9$ and the weight 9 on 10 . Then we have $\binom{9}{2}=36$ nonnegative 3 -element subsets.

## Example

Put the weight 3 on $1, \ldots, 7$ and the weight -7 on $8,9,10$. Then we have $\binom{7}{3}=35$ nonnegative 3 -element subsets.

The last example is the unique smallest example (Marino, Chiaselotti, Hartke, Stolee).

## The Manickam-Miklós-Singhi Conjecture for Sets

## Conjecture (Manickam-Miklós-Singhi)

Let $M=\{1, \ldots, n\}, n \geq 4 k$, and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a weighting with $\sum_{x \in M} f(x)=0$. Then the set $Y$ of nonnegative $k$-element subsets of $M$ satisfies

$$
|Y| \geq\binom{ n-1}{k-1}
$$
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## Conjecture (Manickam-Miklós-Singhi)

Let $M=\{1, \ldots, n\}, n \geq 4 k$, and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a weighting with $\sum_{x \in M} f(x)=0$. Then the set $Y$ of nonnegative $k$-element subsets of $M$ satisfies

$$
|Y| \geq\binom{ n-1}{k-1}
$$

| Authors | Year | Bound on $n$ | $k=10$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bier, Manickam | 1987 | $\approx k^{2 k+1}$ | $4 \cdot 10^{19}$ |
| Manickam, Miklós | 1988 | $(k-1)\left(k^{k}+k^{2}\right)+k$ | $9 \cdot 10^{10}$ |
| Bhattacharya | 2003 | $2^{k+1} e^{k} k^{k+1}$ | $5 \cdot 10^{18}$ |
| Tyomkyn | 2012 | $k^{2}(4 e \log k)^{k}$ | $10^{16}$ |
| Alon, Huang, Sudakov |  | $\min \left\{33 k^{2}, 2 k^{3}\right\}$ | 2000 |
| Frankl | 2013 | $\frac{3}{2} k^{3}$ | 1500 |
| Chowdhury, Sarkis, Shahriari | 2014 | $8 k^{2}$ | 800 |
| Pokrovskiy | $201 ?$ | $10^{46} k$ | $10^{47}$ |

## The Manickam-Miklós-Singhi Conjecture for Sets

## Conjecture (Manickam-Miklós-Singhi)

Let $M=\{1, \ldots, n\}, n \geq 4 k$, and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a weighting with
$\sum_{x \in M} f(x)=0$. Then the set $Y$ of nonnegative $k$-element subsets of $M$ satisfies

$$
|Y| \geq\binom{ n-1}{k-1}
$$

One can also try to solve the problem for small $k$.

| Authors | Year | $k$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trivial |  | $k=1$ |
| Simple |  | $k=2$ |
| Marino, Chiaselotti | 2002 | $k=3$ |
| Stolee, Hartke | 2014 | $k \leq 7$ |
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Let $M=\{1, \ldots, n\}, n \geq 4 k$, and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a weighting with
$\sum_{x \in M} f(x)=0$. Then the set $Y$ of nonnegative $k$-element subsets of $M$ satisfies

$$
|Y| \geq\binom{ n-1}{k-1}
$$

One can also try to solve the problem for small $k$.

| Authors | Year | $k$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trivial |  | $k=1$ |
| Simple |  | $k=2$ |
| Marino, Chiaselotti | 2002 | $k=3$ |
| Stolee, Hartke | 2014 | $k \leq 7$ |

Blinovsky, 201?: complete solution?

## Vector Spaces

- A vector space of dimension $n$ over a finite field with $q$ elements: $V$.
- $S$ is a subspace of $V$ of dimension $k$ : $k$-space.
- 1 -spaces: points, $(n-1)$-spaces: hyperplanes, $\mathcal{P}$ : all points of $V$.
- The number of $k$-spaces in an $n$-space: $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]$.


## Conjecture (Manickam-Miklós-Singhi)

Let $n \geq 4 k$, and $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a weighting with $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{P}} f(x)=0$. Then the set $Y$ of nonnegative $k$-spaces of $V$ satisfies

$$
|Y| \geq\left[\begin{array}{l}
n-1 \\
k-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Some Examples

## Example

Let $P$ be a point. Put the weight $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ 1\end{array}\right]-1$ on $P$, and -1 on all the other points. Then exactly the $\left[\begin{array}{c}n-1 \\ k-1\end{array}\right] k$-spaces through $P$ have nonnegative weight.

## Example

Let $H$ be a hyperplane. Put the weight -1 on all points not in $H$, and $q^{n-1} /\left[\begin{array}{c}n-1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ on all points in $H$. Then exactly the $\left[\begin{array}{c}n-1 \\ k\end{array}\right] k$-spaces in $H$ have nonnegative weight.

## A Strengthend Conjecture

## Conjecture

Let $n \geq k$, and $f: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a weighting with $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{P}} f(x)=0$. Then the set $Y$ of nonnegative $k$-spaces of $V$ satisfies

$$
|Y| \geq \min \left\{\left[\begin{array}{l}
n-1 \\
k-1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-1 \\
k
\end{array}\right]\right\}
$$

with equality if and only if $Y$ is either the set of all $k$-spaces through a point or the set of all $k$-spaces in a hyperplane.

It is enough to show the conjecture for $n \geq 2 k$ as the case $n<2 k$ follows from duality.

## More History

The conjecture is true if $k$ divides $n$.
Theorem (Manickam and Singhi (1988))
If $k$ divides $n$, then the smallest set of nonnegative $k$-spaces $Y$ is an Erdős-Ko-Rado set of maximum size, i.e. a set of pairwise non-trivially intersecting $k$-spaces of maximum size.
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## Theorem (Manickam and Singhi (1988))

If $k$ divides $n$, then the smallest set of nonnegative $k$-spaces $Y$ is an Erdős-Ko-Rado set of maximum size, i.e. a set of pairwise non-trivially intersecting $k$-spaces of maximum size.

## Proof.

As $k$ divides $n$, there exists a spread $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{P}$ into $k$-spaces. Let $S$ be a $k$-space with negative weight. Suppose $S \in \mathcal{S}$. We have

$$
\sum_{x \in M} f(x)=\sum_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{x \in T} f(x)=0
$$

so at least one element $T \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\{S\}$ has positive weight. Double counting over all $\mathcal{S}$ with $S \in \mathcal{S}$ shows $|Y| \geq\left[\begin{array}{c}n-1 \\ k-1\end{array}\right]$ with equality if and only if $Y$ is an Erdős-Ko-Rado set of maximum size.

## Contemporary History

Theorem (Chowdhury, Sarkis, Shahriari (2014))
If $n \geq 3 k$, then

$$
|Y| \geq\left[\begin{array}{l}
n-1 \\
k-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

with equality if and only if $Y$ is the set of all $k$-spaces through a fixed point.

Theorem (Huang, Sudakov (2014))
If $n \geq c k$ for sufficiently large $c$, then

$$
|Y| \geq\left[\begin{array}{l}
n-1 \\
k-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Two Ideas

The ideas used by Chowdhury, Sarkis, and Shahriari. Many of the following only holds for $n \geq 2 k+1$.
(1) If $k$ does not divide $n$, then one can still use something similar to a spread to imitate the Manickam-Singhi double count. This shows

$$
|Y| \geq(1-O(1 / q))\left[\begin{array}{l}
n-1 \\
k-1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

(2) An eigenvalue trick shows

$$
|Y| \geq(1-O(1 / q))\left[\begin{array}{l}
n-1 \\
k-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Combining both ideas shows the result for $n \geq 3 k$ and $q \geq 2$.

## The First Idea

## Theorem (Beutelspacher (1975))

Let $n=r k+\delta, r \in \mathbb{Z}, \delta<k$. Then one can partition $\mathcal{P}$ into one $(k+\delta)$-space and $k$-spaces.

Chowdhury, Sarkis, and Shahriari use this to show that if there exists a $k$-space $S$ with negative weight, then there are

$$
\left(1-\frac{2}{q^{n-2 k-\delta+1}}\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}
n-1 \\
k-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$k$-spaces with positive weight which intersect $S$ trivially.

## The Second Idea

- Let $W$ be the incidence matrix whose rows are indexed by the $k$-spaces and whose columns are indexed by the points, i.e.

$$
W_{P S}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } P \text { is a point of } S \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- Let $A$ be the distance- $(k-1)$-adjacency matrix of $k$-spaces, i.e. the symmetric matrix indexed by $k$-spaces with

$$
A_{S T}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \operatorname{dim}(S \cap T)=1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- If we view the weight function $f$ as a vector, then it is well-known that $b=W f$ is an eigenvector of $A$, i.e. the vector $b$ indexed by the $k$-spaces with the weights of the $k$-spaces as its entries is an eigenvector of $A$.


## The Second Idea

- We know that the weight vector $b$ of the $k$-spaces is an eigenvector of the distance- $(k-1)$-adjacency matrix $A$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$. This shows for a $k$-space $C$

$$
\sum_{\operatorname{dim}(S \cap C)=1} b_{S}=(A b)_{c}=\lambda b_{C}
$$

- If $C$ is a highest weight $k$-space, then this shows that at least $\lambda$ $k$-spaces, which meet $C$ in exactly a point, have nonnegative weight. Fortunately,

$$
\lambda \geq\left(1-\frac{3}{q^{n-2 k+1}}\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}
n-1 \\
k-1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

## Both Ideas Together

Recall $n=r k+\delta$.

- For each negative $k$-space, there are

$$
\left(1-\frac{2}{q^{n-2 k-\delta+1}}\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}
n-1 \\
k-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

nonnegative $k$-spaces disjoint to this $k$-space.

- The highest weight $k$-space meets at least

$$
\left(1-\frac{3}{q^{n-2 k+1}}\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}
n-1 \\
k-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

nonnegative $k$-spaces in a point.

- This shows the conjecture for $n \geq 3 k$ and $q \geq 2$.
- Similar arguments: $n \geq 2 k$ and $q$ large (I., submitted).
- Similar arguments: $(n, k)=(5,2)$ and $q \geq 2$ (Chowdhury, Shahriari, Sarkis, unpublished(?)).


## Generalizations

Other incidence geometries. For polar spaces of rank $d$, the Manickam-Singhi technique shows the following.

## Theorem

If there exists a spread $\mathcal{S}$ of (totally isotropic/singular) $k$-spaces, then the set of nonnegative $k$-spaces $Y$ has at least size $n /|\mathcal{S}|$. Here $n$ is the number of $k$-spaces. In case of equality $Y$ is an Erdős-Ko-Rado set.

## Generalizations

Other incidence geometries. For polar spaces of rank $d$, the Manickam-Singhi technique shows the following.

## Theorem
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Non-trivial results seem to be hard ...
(1) Spreads are only known for $k=d$ and some other special cases. What are good substitutes?
(2) The presented eigenvalue trick does not always work. Is there another one?
(0) Combine both results?

Thank You!


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This important fact was unfortunately missing in the actual talk given in Irsee by the speaker.

